

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,785	10/23/2003	Tatsuya Sugawara	15682-004001	7171
26211	7590 10/03/2006 ·	•	EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			RUTHKOSKY, MARK	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/691,785	SUGAWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7	<u>/8/2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7 8 05, 8 9 04, 112 04		formal Patent Application				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		<u> </u>				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060903				

Application/Control Number: 10/691,785

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings filed on 10/23/2003 have been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kashiwagi (US 2002/0136942 and 6,800,390.)

The instant claims are to a fuel cell system comprising a fuel cell which generates electric power based on hydrogen and a oxidant gas supplied from the outside; a hydrogen gas supply flow path for supplying hydrogen to the fuel cell; a hydrogen off-gas circulating passage for

Application/Control Number: 10/691,785

Art Unit: 1745

returning the hydrogen off gas from said fuel cell to said hydrogen gas supply flow path; a hydrogen pump for boosting the hydrogen off gas mounted in said hydrogen off gas passage; a hydrogen off gas bypass passage for returning the hydrogen off gas in the hydrogen off gas passage to said hydrogen gas supply flow path; an ejector for sending the hydrogen off gas to the hydrogen gas supply flow path.

Kashiwagi (US 2002/0136942 and 6,800,390) teach a fuel cell system comprising a fuel cell which generates electric power based on hydrogen and a oxidant gas supplied from the outside; a hydrogen gas supply flow path for supplying hydrogen to the fuel cell; a hydrogen offgas circulating passage for returning the hydrogen off gas from said fuel cell to said hydrogen gas supply flow path; a hydrogen pump for boosting the hydrogen off gas mounted in said hydrogen off gas passage; a hydrogen off gas bypass passage for returning the hydrogen off gas in the hydrogen off gas passage to said hydrogen gas supply flow path; an ejector for sending the hydrogen off gas to the hydrogen gas supply flow path (see figure 1, claims 1-5 and paragraphs 17-28.) The passage includes a pump that prevents back pass of the flow of hydrogen. Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sugawara (US 2003/0148167.)

Sugawara (US 2003/0148167) teach a fuel cell system comprising a fuel cell which generates electric power based on hydrogen and a oxidant gas supplied from the outside; a hydrogen gas supply flow path for supplying hydrogen to the fuel cell; a hydrogen off-gas circulating passage for returning the hydrogen off gas from said fuel cell to said hydrogen gas

Art Unit: 1745

Application/Control Number: 10/691,785

supply flow path; a hydrogen pump for boosting the hydrogen off gas mounted in said hydrogen off gas passage; a hydrogen off gas bypass passage for returning the hydrogen off gas in the hydrogen off gas passage to said hydrogen gas supply flow path; an ejector for sending the hydrogen off gas to the hydrogen gas supply flow path (see figures 1-12, claims 1-8 and paragraphs 72-95 and 118-122.) The passage includes a pump and check valves that prevent back pass of the flow of hydrogen (see figures 6-10 and the corresponding text.)

With regard to the limitations of claims 4-7, the control of the valve is a process limitation for using the fuel cell system. This limitation has been considered, but is not given patentable weight since the claims are to a product, a fuel cell. MPEP 2113 states, "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashiwagi (US 2002/0136942 and 6,800,390), as applied above, in view of Sugawara (US 2003/0148167.)

Art Unit: 1745

Kashiwagi (US 2002/0136942 and 6,800,390) teach a fuel cell system as previously decribed. Kashiwagi (US 2002/0136942 and 6,800,390) does not teach a check valve in the hydrogen off gas bypass passage. Sugawara (US 2003/0148167) teach a fuel cell system comprising a fuel cell which generates electric power based on hydrogen and a oxidant gas supplied from the outside; a hydrogen gas supply flow path for supplying hydrogen to the fuel cell; a hydrogen off-gas circulating passage for returning the hydrogen off gas from said fuel cell to said hydrogen gas supply flow path; a hydrogen pump for boosting the hydrogen off gas mounted in said hydrogen off gas passage; a hydrogen off gas bypass passage for returning the hydrogen off gas in the hydrogen off gas passage to said hydrogen gas supply flow path; an ejector for sending the hydrogen off gas to the hydrogen gas supply flow path (see figures 1-12, claims 1-8 and paragraphs 72-95 and 118-122.) The passage includes a pump and check valves that prevent back pass of the flow of hydrogen (see figures 6-10 and the corresponding text.) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include check valves as taught in Sugawara in the fuel cell of Kashiwagi in order to prevent the back flow of the exhaust through the flow path. The check valve allows flow from the hydrogen pump to the ejector, but does not permit flow from the ejector to the hydrogen pump (paragraph 96.) With regard to the limitations of claims 4-7, the control of the valve is a process limitation for using the fuel cell system. This limitation has been considered, but is not given patentable weight since the claims are to a product, a fuel cell. MPEP 2113 states, "Even though productby-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a

Art Unit: 1745

product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free.)

Mark Ruthkosky

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1745